

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/663,570	09/15/2003	Luc R. Mongeon	1023-203US01	2842
28863	7590 10/25/2006		EXAM	INER
SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P. A.			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
8425 SEASONS PARKWAY SUITE 105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, N	MN 55125		3762	-

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ĺ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/663,570	MONGEON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Kahelin	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	August 2006.				
,	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 9-45 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 43-45 is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-42</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirem	ient.			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ın priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intensiew	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date			

U.S Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,570 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 43-45 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

2. Claims [1-3 and 9-42] and 43-45 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the genetic material to cause transgene expression of connexin or a gap-junction. The subcombination has separate utility such as use in a system not having a polymeric matrix, such as one comprised fully of collagen.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

Application/Control Number: 10/663,570 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 43-45 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3 and 9-34, drawn to a device/method for delivering genetic material, classified in class 607, subclass 3.
 - II. Claims 35-42, drawn to a method of manufacturing a lead, classified in class 623, subclass 901.
- 5. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the genetic material could be introduced into the matrix after the matrix is in the chamber.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/663,570

Art Unit: 3762

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. A telephone call was made to Jason D. Kelly on 10/17/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/663,570

Art Unit: 3762

2762

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

nl sk

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/20/6

Page 5